



Review Article



Biosurfactant-producing Microorganisms: Potential for Bioremediation of Organic and Inorganic Pollutants

Mohammadhassan Tadayon Tajabadi¹ , Asyeih Sabernejad² , and Mohsen Khalili Najafabadi^{3,*}

¹ Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Kerman Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kerman, Iran

² Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran

³ Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Miskolc, Miskolc, Hungary

* **Corresponding author:** Mohsen Khalili Najafabadi, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Miskolc, Miskolc, Hungary. Email: mohsenkhll@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: 03/02/2023

Accepted: 25/04/2023



Keywords:

Biosurfactants
Bioremediation
Heavy metals
Inorganic pollutants
Microorganisms
Organic pollutants

ABSTRACT

The contamination of soil and water by heavy metals and hydrophobic organic compounds poses a significant threat to the environment. Traditional physicochemical methods for remediation are often expensive and environmentally unfriendly, while bioremediation offers a more eco-compatible and economically feasible alternative. Bioremediation utilizes microorganisms, plants, or microbial/plant enzymes to detoxify contaminants in various environments. Biosurfactants, amphiphilic compounds produced by microorganisms, play a crucial role in enhancing bioremediation effectiveness. They increase substrate surface area, create microenvironments, and promote emulsification, thereby facilitating the removal of pollutants. This article provided a comprehensive overview of biosurfactant-producing microorganisms and their potential in the bioremediation of organic and inorganic pollutants. The types and classifications of biosurfactants as well as the factors influencing their production were discussed. Various microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and yeasts, were identified as biosurfactant producers. This study outlined the production process and highlighted the importance of optimizing growth conditions for high-quality biosurfactant production. The applications of biosurfactants in remediation were explored by emphasizing their ability to enhance biodegradation, remove heavy metals, and increase hydrocarbon bioavailability. Several studies demonstrating the efficacy of biosurfactant-producing microorganisms in bioremediation were presented. The potential limitations and challenges associated with biosurfactant application *in situ* were also discussed. In conclusion, the controlled use of biosurfactants could offer promising prospects for the efficient and sustainable cleanup of contaminated sites, contributing to environmental remediation efforts.

1. Introduction

Heavy metals, such as Copper (Cu), Cadmium (Cd), Lead (Pb), Nickel (Ni), Chromium (Cr), and Zinc (Zn), as well as hydrophobic organic compounds cause soil and water contaminations, leading into a serious environmental problem^{1,2}.

Several different physicochemical and biological processes are employed to cleanup heavy metals and organic compounds from environment^{3,4}. Physicochemical methods are not cost-effective, and some of them are not environmentally friendly, while bioremediation processes are an eco-compatible and

economically feasible option^{2,3}.

Bioremediation is the process of using microorganisms, plants, or microbial or plant enzymes to detoxify contaminants in the soil, water, air, flue gases, industrial effluents, and other environments⁵. The natural ability of organisms to adsorb, accumulate, and degrade common and emerging pollutants has attracted the use of biological resources in treatment of contaminated environment³. Bioremediation can be done *in situ* at the site of the contamination or *ex situ* away from the site⁵.

Bioremediation can be improved by the use of biosurfactants produced by microorganisms. Biosurfactants can improve bioremediation effectiveness by raising the surface area of substrates, creating their own micro-environment, and promoting emulsification by the assert of certain compounds through diverse mechanisms such as quorum sensing⁶.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate a comprehensive overview the biosurfactant-producing microorganisms and their potentiality in bioremediation of organic and inorganic pollutants contaminated sites.

2. Biosurfactants

Biosurfactants are amphiphilic compounds with surface tension reduction abilities that produced by microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and yeast⁷. This compound can be used in environmental, industrial, agricultural, therapeutic activities and can also enhance petroleum bioremediation⁷.

Biosurfactants have several advantages over chemical surfactants. For instance, biosurfactants are easily degraded by microorganisms in the environment, making them a more sustainable alternative to synthetic surfactants⁷. They are generally non-toxic to humans, animals, and the environment, making them safer to use in various applications⁶. Biosurfactants can lower the surface tension of liquids, which allows them to penetrate and remove dirt and other contaminants more effectively than water alone⁶. In addition, biosurfactants can form stable emulsions of immiscible liquids, making them useful in various industrial applications, such as in the production of food, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals². Some biosurfactants have been found to have antimicrobial properties, making them useful in the development of new antibiotics and other antimicrobial agents⁸. Biosurfactants can exhibit high selectivity towards different types of hydrophobic compounds, allowing them to be used in specific applications^{2,6}.

3. Factors for biosurfactant production

The production of biosurfactants by microorganisms is a complex process influenced by several factors, including the type of microorganism, carbon and nitrogen sources, carbon to nitrogen ratio, salinity, temperature, pH, oxygen availability, vitamins, metabolic regulators, inhibitors, inducers, minerals, water and agitation, and aeration⁹. Different microorganisms produce different types of biosurfactants, and the type and concentration of carbon and nitrogen sources used affect biosurfactant production¹⁰. The optimum temperature, pH, and oxygen levels required for biosurfactant production can vary depending on the microorganism and the biosurfactant being produced⁹. Agitation and aeration of the growth medium are also important for promoting microbial growth and biosurfactant production¹¹. Optimizing these factors is crucial for achieving high yields and quality of biosurfactants, which are necessary for their commercial

production and various applications^{9,10}.

4. Types and classifications of biosurfactants

Biosurfactants can be classified into several types based on their chemical structure, microbial origin, and properties¹². Some biosurfactants may have multiple properties, making them useful in a variety of applications, including in the food, pharmaceutical, and bioremediation industries¹². Biosurfactants are categorized into glycolipids, lipopeptides, phospholipids, polymeric biosurfactants, fatty acids, and saponins. Glycolipids are biosurfactants that contain a sugar molecule attached to a lipid. Examples include rhamnolipids, sophorolipids, and trehalose lipids¹³. Lipopeptides are biosurfactants that contain a peptide attached to a lipid. Examples include surfactin, iturin, and fengycin¹⁴. Phospholipids are biosurfactants that contain a phosphate group attached to a lipid. Examples include phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine¹³. Polymeric biosurfactants, including exopolysaccharides and lipopolysaccharides, are produced by microorganisms as part of their extracellular polymeric substances¹⁵. Fatty acids, such as rhamnolipid fatty acids and sophorolipid fatty acids, are biosurfactants that are produced by microorganisms as a byproduct of their metabolism¹². Saponins are biosurfactants that are produced by plants and some microorganisms. Examples include glycyrrhizin and aescin¹⁶.

5. Biosurfactant-producing microorganisms

Biosurfactants are produced by a wide range of microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and yeasts. Different types of microorganisms belonging to the genera *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Candida*, *Rhodococcus* and *Corynebacterium*, are reported to produce biosurfactants^{1,17}. As indicated by various studies, some potential microbial strains produce biosurfactants (Table 1).

Table 1. Biosurfactant-producing microorganisms

Microorganism	Biosurfactant	Reference
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Rhamnolipids	18
<i>Torulopsis bombicola</i> , <i>T. apicola</i>	Sophorolipids	19
<i>Rhodococcus erythropolis</i> , <i>Mycobacterium</i> sp	Trehalolipids	20
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Peptide-lipid	21
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	Viscosin	22
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	Serrawettin	23
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Surfactin	24
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Subtilisin	24
<i>Bacillus brevis</i>	Gramicidin	25
<i>Mycobacterium</i> sp.	Trehalolipids	23
<i>Corynebacterium lepus</i>	Fatty acid	26
<i>Nocardia erythropolis</i>	Neutral lipids	23
<i>Thiobacillus thiooxidans</i>	Phospholipids	25
<i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i>	Emulsan	25
<i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i>	Biodispersan	25
<i>Candida lipolytica</i>	Liposan	23
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	Carbohydrate-lipid-protein	25
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	Mannan-lipid-protein	23

6. Production of biosurfactants

The production process involves various stages, including selecting a suitable microorganism with the required biosurfactant production capacity, growing an inoculum, preparing a nutrient-rich medium for the production culture, optimizing growth conditions, extracting the biosurfactant, purifying and characterizing the product, and finally, scaling up the process for commercialization²⁷. Each step requires optimization of different factors to achieve high yields and quality of biosurfactants, which are vital for their commercial production and use in various applications²⁷. Techniques, such as solvent extraction, precipitation, and membrane filtration, are used to extract the biosurfactant from the production culture broth and different characterization techniques are used to determine its properties and structure²⁸. Ultimately, the biosurfactant production process must be scaled up while optimizing various parameters to achieve high-quality, cost-effective biosurfactants that meet the needs of different industries^{27,28}.

7. Applications of biosurfactant in the remediation

Biosurfactants have numerous commercial applications in various industries as a result of their unique properties and eco-friendly nature^{6, 23}.

Biosurfactants can increase the hydrophobicity of bacterial cells by causing the cell surface to become more

hydrophobic². This can increase the association of the cell with the slightly soluble substrate, thereby increasing the bioavailability of the substrate for microbial degradation²³.

Biosurfactants play a crucial role in raising the surface areas of insoluble compounds by reducing surface and interfacial tensions. This, in turn, enhances the mobility and bioavailability of hydrocarbons¹⁴. As a result, biosurfactants contribute to the improved bioremediation and removal of hydrocarbons. When biosurfactants are added, it is anticipated that they will enhance hydrocarbon biodegradation through mechanisms, such as mobilization, solubilization, or emulsification²⁵.

Soil biodegradation techniques benefit from biosurfactant-producing microorganisms for oil spill remediation, the removal of heavy metals, conversion of mousse oil into an oil-in-water emulsion and water, soil washing, and waste treatment²⁶.

The capability of biosurfactant-producing microorganisms to increase bioremediation rates was reported by numerous studies. The reviewed cases of bioremediation using biosurfactants producing microorganisms are presented in Table 2.

The incorporation of surfactants into contaminated soil has the potential to reduce interfacial tension, resulting in increased mass transfer of contaminants³⁹. Numerous studies have demonstrated that different surfactants can effectively enhance processes, such as desorption, solubilization, biodegradation of organic compounds, and removal of heavy metals from soil².

Table 2. Biosurfactants producing microorganisms and uses in the bioremediation of oil-contaminated environments.

Microorganisms	Type of biosurfactant	Applications	Reference
<i>Nocardopsis alba</i> MSA10	Lipopeptide	Bioremediation	24
<i>Rhodococcus erythropolis</i> 3C-9	Glucolipid and trehalose lipid	Oil spill removal operations	29
<i>Candida sphaerica</i> UCP0995	Biosurfactant extract	Remove of Zn Fe Pb	30
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> S2	Rhamnolipid	Oil spill removal operations	18
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> BS20	Rhamnolipid	Bioremediation of oil pollution	31
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	Biosurfactant extract	Zn Cu Pb	32
<i>Brevibacillus brevis</i> BAB-6437	Lipopeptide	Cr Azulene	33
<i>Bacillus tequilensis</i> CH	Cyclic lipopeptide (CL)	CdCl ₂	34
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> BS5	Lipopeptide	Bioremediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated sites	24
<i>Candida sphaerica</i> UCP0995	Protein-carbohydrate-lipid complex	Biodegradation of oil-contaminated environments	25
<i>Candida glabrata</i> UCP1002	Protein-carbohydrate-lipid complex	Oil removal	25
<i>Candida lipolytica</i> UCP0988	Sophorolipids	Oil removal	25
<i>Candida guilliermondii</i> UCP0992	Glycolipid complex	Removal of petroleum derivate motor oil from sand	25
<i>Candida lipolytica</i> UCP0988	Sophorolipids	Removal of petroleum and motor oil adsorbed to sand	25
<i>Rhodococcus wratislaviensis</i> BN38	Glycolipid	Bioremediation	37
<i>Pseudozyma hubeiensis</i>	Glycolipid	Bioremediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated sites	35
<i>Pseudomonas cepacia</i> CCT6659	Rhamnolipid	Bioremediation of marine and soil environments	38
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> S2	Rhamnolipid	Environmental applications	23
<i>Rhodococcus</i> sp. TW53	Lipopeptide	Bioremediation of marine oil pollution	25
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> BS20	Rhamnolipid	Bioremediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated sites	25
<i>Micrococcus luteus</i> BN56	Trehalose tetraester	Biodegradation of oil-contaminated environments	36

Parhamfar et al. reported *Alcanivorax* and *Idiomarina* had the highest capacity to produce biosurfactant and remove approximately 90% and 53% of crude oil, respectively⁴⁰. In another study, it was found that Exopolysaccharide (EPS) secreted by *Enterobacter cloacae* strain TU was as an emulsifier with a high emulsifying activity ($E_{24} = 75$)¹⁵.

Rhamnolipids have been suggested as effective agents for soil washing, aiming to enhance the removal of organic pollutants and metals from soil³⁸. However, there is a potential limitation to their application due to sorption by soil matrix components. *Pseudomonas* spp. are capable of producing rhamnolipids, either in the form of monorhamnolipids or a combination of mono- and dirhamnolipids³⁸. The sorption of monorhamnolipids on soil matrix components depends on its concentration⁴¹. Monorhamnolipid form exhibits stronger sorption when present alone compared to when it is in a mixture of forms⁴¹.

Conte et al. performed a study to compare the efficiency of a humic acid solution to that of common surfactants, such as sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and Triton X-100 (TX100), as well as water, in the washing of polluted soil in a contaminated industrial area near a chemical plant⁴². The results revealed that water alone was unable to completely remove pollutants from the soil. On the other hand, all the organic surfactants exhibited similar efficiencies, achieving pollutant removal rates of up to 90%. Consequently, the use of natural humic acid solutions appears to be a more favorable option for soil washing in highly contaminated areas. This is due to their additional capacity to promote microbial activity, which is in contrast to chemical surfactants commonly used for soil washing⁴².

Biosurfactants could potentially be utilized to decrease metal contamination³⁰. Unlike biodegradable substances, heavy metals cannot be broken down by biological processes. Instead, they can undergo transformations from one chemical state to another, altering their mobility and level of toxicity³².

Microorganisms have the ability to impact metals through various mechanisms. One way is through the transformation of metals via redox processes or alkylation⁴³. Additionally, microorganisms can accumulate metals through either passive uptake, which occurs independently of metabolism, or active uptake. This involves intracellular processes that depend on metabolism⁴⁴. Furthermore, microorganisms can indirectly influence the mobility of metals by altering pH levels or by producing and releasing substances that affect the mobility of these metals⁴⁵.

Due to their anionic nature, Rhamnolipids enable effectively extract metals and ions, including cadmium, copper, lanthanum, lead, and zinc, from soil. This ability can be attributed to their capacity for complexation⁴⁶. Rhamnolipids have the potential to remove heavy metals from sediments. When the biosurfactant is utilized in a continuous flow configuration, it achieves a removal efficiency of up to 37% for copper, 13% for zinc, and 27% for nickel⁴⁷.

In another study, the removal of cadmium using an aqueous solution was observed even at concentrations below the critical micelle concentration (CMC). Furthermore, when the concentration of the solution was five times higher than the CMC, it led to the nearly complete removal of 100 ppm of metallic ions⁴⁸.

Biosurfactants offer potential applications for the removal of metals from soil³⁸. One method involves applying biosurfactants to a specific section of contaminated soil, where the soil is placed in a large cement mixer. Through this process, the biosurfactant-metal complex is flushed out, allowing for separation. The soil is then returned to its original location, while the biosurfactant-metal complex is treated to precipitate out the biosurfactant. This separation process leaves behind the metals, which can be further addressed or managed separately^{49,50}. The strong bond between the positively charged metal and the negatively charged surfactant enables the effective removal of the surfactant-metal complex from the soil matrix through the flushing process²⁶. This method is particularly suitable for surface-level contamination, where water is flushed through the soil to remove the complex. However, for deeper subsurface contamination, additional pumping activities may be necessary to carry out the process effectively^{26,49}.

The utilization of biosurfactants offers undeniable advantages in the context of heavy metal-contaminated soil, as bacterial strains capable of producing surface active compounds do not necessarily require survival ability in such environments⁴⁹. However, the use of biosurfactants alone necessitates the continuous addition of new portions of these compounds⁴⁹.

The biosurfactant can positively affect the bioremediation of heavy metal-contaminated soil due to their capacity to create metal-based complexes⁵⁰. Anionic biosurfactants form metal-based complexes in a nonionic form using ionic bonds⁵⁰. Compared to the metal's interactions with the soil matrix, the bonds are stronger, which lead to the desorption of metal-biosurfactant complexes from the soil matrix into the soil solution.

Although using biosurfactants in bioremediation is highly effective, there are still many factors that need further investigations. Despite positive effects of biosurfactants, there are some cases for which no or negative effects were recorded. This discrepancy may be explained by different conditions under which the studies were performed, that is the laboratory and *in situ* conditions.

8. Conclusion

Biosurfactants hold significant potential for remediating contaminated sites. They can be effectively employed to treat soil and water that have been contaminated with both organic and inorganic pollutants. By employing biosurfactants in a careful and controlled manner, the cleanup of toxic environmental pollutants can be enhanced, leading to a cleaner and healthier environment. Biosurfactants offer a promising solution for

the bioremediation of contaminated sites. These compounds, produced by microorganisms, possess unique properties that make them effective in removing organic and inorganic pollutants from soil and water. Biosurfactants have diverse applications in industry, including enhanced biodegradation of hydrocarbons, soil washing, dispersing oil slicks, oil spill remediation, water and waste treatment, and metal removal. They can increase the bioavailability of contaminants, enhance biodegradation rates, promote solubilization and emulsification of hydrocarbons, and form complexes with heavy metals for their removal from soil and water. Despite the promising potential of biosurfactants, further research is needed to fully understand their effects under different conditions and *in situ* applications. Consistency between laboratory studies and field trials is essential to validate their effectiveness and optimize their use in real-world scenarios.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors' contribution

All authors were involved in data collection, design of the article, interpretation of results, review, and manuscript preparation.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the publication of this article.

Availability of data and materials

The prepared data for this study are presented just in this review article.

Ethical considerations

The authors checked for plagiarism and consented to the publishing of the article. The authors have also checked the article for data fabrication, double publication, and redundancy.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to all participants and their parents who helped to conduct this research.

References

- Salari Z, Bayat Z, Dabaghi S, and Niaei FS. Biosorption of boron from industrial wastewater by green *Algae spirogyra* sp. Res Biotechnol Environ Sci. 2022; 1(1): 12–15. DOI: [10.58803/rbes.v1i1.3](https://doi.org/10.58803/rbes.v1i1.3)
- Bayat Z, Hassanshahian M, and Hesni MA. Enrichment and isolation of crude oil degrading bacteria from some mussels collected from the Persian Gulf. Mar Pollut Bull. 2015; 101(1): 85-91. DOI: [10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.021)
- Dhaliwal SS, Singh J, Taneja PK, and Mandal A. Remediation techniques for removal of heavy metals from the soil contaminated through different sources: A review. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2020; 27: 1319-1333. DOI: [10.1007/s11356-019-06967-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06967-1)
- Rajendran S, Priya TA, Khoo KS, Hoang TK, Ng HS, Munawaroh HS, et al. A critical review on various remediation approaches for heavy metal contaminants removal from contaminated soils. Chemosphere. 2022; 287(Part 4): 132369. DOI: [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132369](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132369)
- Sharma I. Bioremediation techniques for polluted environment: Concept, advantages, limitations, and prospects. In: Murillo-Tovar MA, Saldarriaga-Noreña H, SaeidTrace A, editors. Trace metals in the environment- New approaches and recent advances. IntechOpen; 2020. DOI: [10.5772/intechopen.90453](https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.90453)
- Gharai S, Ohadi M, Hassanshahian M, Porsheikhali S, and Forootanfar H. Isolation, optimization, and structural characterization of glycolipid biosurfactant produced by marine isolate *Shewanella* alga e B12 and evaluation of its antimicrobial and anti-biofilm activity. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2022; 194(4): 1755-1774. DOI: [10.1007/s12010-021-03782-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-021-03782-8)
- Bjerk TR, Severino P, Jain S, Marques C, Silva AM, Pashirova T, et al. Biosurfactants: Properties and applications in drug delivery, biotechnology and ecotoxicology. Bioengineering. 2021; 8(8): 115. DOI: [10.3390/bioengineering8080115](https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering8080115)
- Karnwal A, Shrivastava S, Al-Tawaha AR, Kumar G, Singh R, Kumar A, et al. Microbial biosurfactant as an alternate to chemical surfactants for application in cosmetics industries in personal and skin care products: A critical review. Biomed Res Int. 2023; 2023: 2375223. DOI: [10.1155/2023/2375223](https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/2375223)
- Kebede G, Tafese T, Abda EM, Kamaraj M, and Assefa F. Factors influencing the bacterial bioremediation of hydrocarbon contaminants in the soil: Mechanisms and impacts. J Chem. 2021; 2021: 9823362. DOI: [10.1155/2021/9823362](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9823362)
- Hazaimeh MD, and Ahmed ES. Bioremediation perspectives and progress in petroleum pollution in the marine environment: A review. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2021; 28: 54238-54259. DOI: [10.1007/s11356-021-15598-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-15598-4)
- Vieira IM, Santos BL, Ruzene DS, and Silva DP. An overview of current research and developments in biosurfactants. J Ind Eng Chem. 2021; 100: 1-8. DOI: [10.1016/j.jiec.2021.05.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2021.05.017)
- Ribeiro BG, Guerra JM, and Sarubbo LA. Biosurfactants: Production and application prospects in the food industry. Biotechnol Prog. 2020; 36(5): e3030. DOI: [10.1002/btpr.3030](https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.3030)
- Sašek K, and Euston SR. Sustainable microbial biosurfactants and bioemulsifiers for commercial exploitation. Process Biochem. 2019; 85: 143-155. DOI: [10.1016/j.procbio.2019.06.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2019.06.027)
- Ukaegbu CI, Shah SR, Alara RO, and Thonda OA. Biosurfactants as potential antitumor agents. In: Aslam R, Mobin M, Aslam J, Zehra S, edsitors. Advancements in biosurfactants research. Springer, Cham; 2023. p. 439-460. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-031-21682-4_20](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21682-4_20)
- Hua X, Wu Z, Zhang H, Lu D, Wang M, Liu Y, et al. Degradation of hexadecane by enterobacter cloacae strain TU that secretes an exopolysaccharide as a bioemulsifier. Chemosphere. 2010; 80: 951-956. DOI: [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.05.002)
- Hellweg T, Sottmann T, and Oberdisse J. Recent advances in biosurfactant-based association colloids—Self-assembly in water. Front Soft Matter. 2: 1081877. DOI: [10.3389/frsfm.2022.1081877](https://doi.org/10.3389/frsfm.2022.1081877)
- Bayat Z, Hassanshahian M, and Hesni MA. Study the symbiotic crude oil-degrading bacteria in the mussel *Macra stultorum* collected from the Persian Gulf. Mar Pollut Bull. 2016; 105(1): 120-124. DOI: [10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.02.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.02.042)
- De Rienzo MD, Kamalanathan ID, and Martin PJ. Comparative study of the production of rhamnolipid biosurfactants by *B. thailandensis* E264 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 9027 using foam fractionation. Process Biochem. 2016; 51(7): 820-827. DOI: [10.1016/j.procbio.2016.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2016.04.007)
- Pal S, Chatterjee N, Das AK, McClements DJ, and Dhar P. Sophorolipids: A comprehensive review on properties and applications. Adv Colloid Interface Sci. 2023; 313: 102856. DOI: [10.1016/j.cis.2023.102856](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2023.102856)
- Roy A. Review on the biosurfactants: Properties, types and its applications. J Fundam Renew Energy Appl. 2017; 8(1): 1000248. Available at: <https://www.longdom.org/open-access-pdfs/a-review-on-the-biosurfactants-properties-types-and-its-applications-2090-4541-1000248.pdf>

21. Anburajan L, Meena B, Raghavan RV, Shridhar D, Joseph TC, Vinithkumar NV, et al. Heterologous expression, purification, and phylogenetic analysis of oil-degrading biosurfactant biosynthesis genes from the marine sponge-associated *Bacillus licheniformis* NIOT-06. *Bioprocess Biosyst Eng*. 2015; 38: 1009-1018. DOI: [10.1007/s00449-015-1359-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-015-1359-x)
22. Banat IM, Franzetti A, Gandolfi I, Bestetti G, Martinotti MG, Fracchia L, et al. Microbial biosurfactants production, applications and future potential. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2010; 87: 427-444. DOI: [10.1007/s00253-010-2589-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-2589-0)
23. Vijayakumar S, and Saravanan V. Biosurfactants-types, sources and applications. *Res J Microbiol*. 2015; 10(5): 181-192. DOI: [10.3923/jm.2015.181.192](https://doi.org/10.3923/jm.2015.181.192)
24. Meena KR, Dhiman R, Singh K, Kumar S, Sharma A, Kanwar SS, et al. Purification and identification of a surfactin biosurfactant and engine oil degradation by *Bacillus velezensis* KLP2016. *Microb Cell Fact*. 2021; 20: 26. DOI: [10.1186/s12934-021-01519-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-021-01519-0)
25. Zahed MA, Matinvafa MA, Azari A, and Mohajeri L. Biosurfactant, a green and effective solution for bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbons in the aquatic environment. *Discover Water*. 2022; 2: 5. DOI: [10.1007/s43832-022-00013-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s43832-022-00013-x)
26. Jain A, Khan HW, and Jain P. Application of biosurfactant as versatile additives or ingredients of food processing. Applications of next generation biosurfactants in the food sector. Academic Press; 2023. Chapter 6, p. 111-135. DOI: [10.1016/B978-0-12-824283-4.00007-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824283-4.00007-1)
27. Gurkok S. Important parameters necessary in the bioreactor for the mass production of biosurfactants. Green sustainable process for chemical and environmental engineering and science. Elsevier; 2021. Chapter 7, p. 347-365. DOI: [10.1016/B978-0-12-823380-1.00020-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823380-1.00020-4)
28. Ndlovu T, Rautenbach M, Vosloo JA, Khan S, and Khan W. Characterisation and antimicrobial activity of biosurfactant extracts produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from a wastewater treatment plant. *AMB Express*. 2017; 7: 108. DOI: [10.1186/s13568-017-0363-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-017-0363-8)
29. Wolińska A, Kuźniar A, Szafranek-Nakonieczna A, Jastrzębska N, Roguska E, and Stepniewska Z. Biological activity of autochthonic bacterial community in oil-contaminated soil. *Water Air Soil Poll*. 2016; 227: 130. DOI: [10.1007/s11270-016-2825-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-016-2825-z)
30. Luna JM, Rufino RD, and Sarubbo LA. Biosurfactant from *Candida sphaerica* UCP0995 exhibiting heavy metal remediation properties. *Process Saf Environ Prot*. 2016; 102: 558-566. DOI: [10.1016/j.psep.2016.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.05.010)
31. Varjani SJ, and Upasani VN. Carbon spectrum utilization by an indigenous strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* NCIM 5514: Production, characterization and surface active properties of biosurfactant. *Bioresour Technol*. 2016; 221: 510-516. DOI: [10.1016/j.biortech.2016.09.080](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.09.080)
32. Da Rocha Junior RB, Meira HM, Almeida DG, Rufino RD, Luna JM, Santos VA, et al. Application of a low-cost biosurfactant in heavy metal remediation processes. *Biodegradation*. 2019; 30(4): 215-233. DOI: [10.1007/s10532-018-9833-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-018-9833-1)
33. Singh R, and Rathore D. Impact assessment of azulene and chromium on growth and metabolites of wheat and chilli cultivars under biosurfactant augmentation. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*. 2019; 186: 109789. DOI: [10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109789](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109789)
34. Pradhan AK, Rath A, Pradhan N, Hazra RK, Nayak RR, and Kanjilal S. Cyclic lipopeptide biosurfactant from *Bacillus tequilensis* exhibits multifarious activity. *Biotech*. 2018; 8(6): 261. DOI: [10.1007/s13205-018-1288-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-018-1288-x)
35. Jezierska S, Claus S, and Van Bogaert I. Yeast glycolipid biosurfactants. *Febs Letters*. 2018; 592(8): 1312-1329. DOI: [10.1002/1873-3468.12888](https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.12888)
36. Madankar CS, and Meshram A. Review on classification, physicochemical properties and applications of microbial surfactants. *Tenside Surfactants Det*. 2022; 59(1): 1-16. DOI: [10.1515/tsd-2021-2353](https://doi.org/10.1515/tsd-2021-2353)
37. Kuyukina MS, and Ivshina IB. Production of trehalolipid biosurfactants by *Rhodococcus*. In: Alvarez H, editor. *Biology of rhodococcus*. Microbiology Monographs. Springer, Cham; 2019. p. 271-298. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-11461-9_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11461-9_10)
38. Soares da Silva R de CF, Luna JM, Rufino RD, and Sarubbo LA. Ecotoxicity of the formulated biosurfactant from *Pseudomonas cepacia* CCT 6659 and application in the bioremediation of terrestrial and aquatic environments impacted by oil spills. *Process Saf Environ Prot*. 2021; 154: 338-347. DOI: [10.1016/j.psep.2021.08.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2021.08.038)
39. Gao YZ, Ling WT, Zhu LZ, Zhao BW, and Zheng QS. Surfactant-enhanced phytoremediation of soil contaminated with hydrophobic organic contaminants: Potential and assessment. *Pedosphere*. 2007; 17(4): 409-418. DOI: [10.1016/S1002-0160\(07\)60050-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(07)60050-2)
40. Parhamfar M, Bayat Z, Parhamfar M, Hassanshahian M, and Hosseini SS. Investigation of oil-in-water emulsions treatment by crude oil degrading bacteria and coagulation with cationic polyacrylamide. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol*. 2018; 9(2): 1000369. DOI: [10.4172/2157-7463.1000369](https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7463.1000369)
41. Ochoa-Loza FJ, Noordman WH, Janssen DB, Brusseu ML, and Maier RM. Effect of clays, metal oxides, and organic matter on rhamnolipid biosurfactant sorption by soil. *Chemosphere*. 2007; 66(9): 1634-1642. DOI: [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.07.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.07.068)
42. Conte P, Agretto A, Spaccini R, and Piccolo A. Soil remediation: Humic acids as natural surfactants in the washings of highly contaminated soils. *Environ Pollut*. 2005; 135(3): 515-522. DOI: [10.1016/j.envpol.2004.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2004.10.006)
43. Sharma P, Dutta D, Udayan A, Nadda AK, Lam SS, and Kumar S. Role of microbes in bioaccumulation of heavy metals in municipal solid waste: Impacts on plant and human being. *Environ Pollut*. 2022; 305: 119248. DOI: [10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119248](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119248)
44. Mwandira W, Nakashima K, Kawasaki S, Arabelo A, Banda K, Nyambe I, et al. Biosorption of Pb (II) and Zn (II) from aqueous solution by *Oceanobacillus profundus* isolated from an abandoned mine. *Sci Rep*. 2020; 10: 21189. DOI: [10.1038/s41598-020-78187-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-78187-4)
45. Mondal S, Mukherjee SK, and Hossain ST. Exploration of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) for heavy metal bioremediation and environmental sustainability: Recent advances and future prospects. In: Shah MP, editor. *Modern Approaches in Waste Bioremediation*. Springer, Cham; 2023. p. 29-55. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-031-24086-7_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24086-7_3)
46. Tekere M. Biosurfactant application and bioaugmentation for effective bioremediation of contaminated environment. Green sustainable process for chemical and environmental engineering and science. Elsevier; 2021. Chapter 15, p. 323-339. DOI: [10.1016/B978-0-12-822696-4.00018-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822696-4.00018-8)
47. Srivastava S, Mondal MK, and Agrawal SB. Biosurfactants for heavy metal remediation and bioeconomics. In: Sarma H, Vara Prasad MN, editors. *Biosurfactants for a sustainable future: Production and applications in the environment and biomedicine*. 2021; p. 79-98. DOI: [10.1002/9781119671022.ch4](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119671022.ch4)
48. Mukherjee AK, and Das K. **Microbial surfactants and their potential applications: An overview**. In: Sen R, editor. *Biosurfactants. Advances in experimental medicine and biology*. New York, NY: Springer; 2010. p. 54-64. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4419-5979-9_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-5979-9_4)
49. Alvarez A, Saez JM, Costa JS, Colin VL, Fuentes MS, Cuozzo SA, et al. Actinobacteria: Current research and perspectives for bioremediation of pesticides and heavy metals. *Chemosphere*. 2017; 166: 41-62. DOI: [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.070](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.070)
50. Sarubbo LA, Rocha Jr RB, Luna JM, Rufino RD, Santos VA, and Banat IM. Some aspects of heavy metals contamination remediation and role of biosurfactants. *Chem Ecol*. 2015; 31(8) :707-723. DOI: [10.1080/02757540.2015.1095293](https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540.2015.1095293)